The Nagoya Protocol



when pursuing research activities outside the EU*

* even outside Flanders...

dr. Tom Geerinckx Research Department tom.geerinckx@ugent.be 09 264 3015

Funding application forms of EU, FWO, BOF, IOF, ...:



1 Intro: ethics are more and more being enforced

Research with international aspects has come into the focus of ethics.

- Undeniable link between **ethical prudence**, good research practices and **legal compliance**
- Countries have **sovereign rights** over the (genetic / biological) resources found on their territory
- Outcry: "Stop stealing, patenting, benefiting, from our lands."
- Idea of Nagoya Protocol: acquire ethical behaviour through an international legal framework

Rachel Wynberg Doris Schroeder Roger Chennells *Editors*

Indigenous Peoples, Consent and Benefit Sharing

Lessons from the San-Hoodia Case



1 Intro: history of Nagoya Protocol



1992: Convention on Biological Diversity (enters into force 1993)

2010: Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-Sharing (enters into force 12 October 2014)

2014: EU Regulation 511/2014 on Access and Benefit-Sharing

Important!

Not all countries are party to the Nagoya Protocol.

But: almost every country has its own Access and Benefit-Sharing (ABS) regulation.



https://absch.cbd.int/

1 Intro: What is Access and Benefit-Sharing (ABS)?

Access and use of resources lead to the obligation of negotiated sharing of the benefits you enjoy from the resources.

Should the idea of negotiating this with a government paralyze you?

What benefit-sharing do you already do?

- cooperation with local institutes
- co-publications
- capacity building (collections, education, training, ...)

What other benefit-sharing might be negotiated?

- often (semi-)dictated
- non-monetary options
- monetary options
- usually well balanced
- often none are required!

Is the Nagoya Protocol relevant to my research?



As soon as one of the situations below applies.

- \rightarrow I want to **transfer** biological material from one country to another.
- → I want to **sample** biological material outside of Flanders (even in Wallonia).
- \rightarrow Biological material is being sent to me.
- → I am using biological material from a collection or a third person, and it dates from 2014 or later.
- \rightarrow 1 am accessing or using traditional knowledge associated with biological resources.

Cumulative conditions to be in scope:

- Material scope
 Geographical scope
- 3. Time scope
- 4. Utilization scope

- → Almost any biological material, except:
 - Human material
 - Influenza viruses with human pandemic potential (covered by the Pandemic Influenza Preparedness (PIP) Framework)
 - Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture

(PGRFA) listed in Annex I of the International Plant Treaty (ITPGRFA), with use related to food or agriculture, and accessed ex situ, and in a country that is party to the ITPGRFA

Cumulative conditions to be in scope:

1. Material scope

If accessed:

- 2. Geographical scope
- 3. Time scope
- 4. Utilization scope

- in sovereign territory of a country that ...
 - is a party of the Nagoya protocol (at the time of access)
 - has established measures* relating to ABS for the genetic resource you intend to use
- → Hence not: in marine areas beyond national jurisdiction
 - in the area covered by the Antarctic Treaty System

* 2019: Flemish Decree on Access and Benefit-Sharing
 2020: Walloon Decree on Access and Benefit-Sharing

Cumulative conditions to be in scope:

1. Material scope

2. Geographical scope

3. Time scope

4. Utilization scope

To be in scope, *access* of material must be on or after 12 October 2014.

Cumulative conditions to be in scope:

- 1. Material scope
- 2. Geographical scope
- 3. Time scope
- 4. Utilization scope

Almost any utilization... (e.g., research, development, product development)

Not in scope:

- genetic resources as **commodities** (such as agricultural, fisheries or forestry products)
- genetic resources used as a tool

Often less stringent rules apply to:

- fundamental / basic / non-commercial research
- research on taxonomy/biodiversity



Double compliance:

1. **Comply** with the **country's legislation**.

2. Most important rule: **comply** with EU Regulation 511/2014.



European Parliament

REGULATION (EU) No 511/2014 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 April 2014

<u>on compliance measures for users</u> from the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization in the Union



Council of the European Union

Why is this 'more' important?

- The EU regulation monitors compliance for users in EU (and penalties).
- It also assists researchers in some 'difficult situations'.

3 What must I do?

Most importantly: act timely. Negotiations with countries can take long ... and can go wrong.

You might need:

- → Prior Informed Consent (P.I.C.)
- → negotiated Mutually Agreed Terms (M.A.T.) relating to:
 - use of the material (limits, transfers, third users)
 - benefit-sharing provisions (non-monetary / monetary)
- → Material Transfer Agreements (M.T.A.'s) if taken on private ground, ...

4 Support at Ghent University

→ For all ethics-related issues: start your search in the Ghent University Framework of Good Research Practice or read the Ethics webpage



- → Visit the renewed Nagoya webpages in English and Dutch, with links to practical research tips:
 - → How can I know if my research is in scope of the Nagoya Protocol?
 - → How can I find the ABS legislation of a specific country?
 - → What are my **obligations** if my research is in scope of the Nagoya Protocol?
 - → What should I do to comply with the EU ABS Regulation?
 - → Is traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources also subject to the Nagoya Protocol?

Click on all links!

4 Support at Ghent University

Research Department Ethics Policy team

Tom Geerinckx

Nagoya Protocol and ABS, animal-related ethics nagoya@ugent.be **Vincent Eechaudt**

Dual use, misuse, human rights <u>meldpuntDU@ugent.be</u> mensenrechtenbeleid@ugent.be Annik Leyman

Data-related ethics, GDPR First contact point: <u>data stewards</u>

Other ethical question or doubt? Also contact us.