## GHENT GHENT GRENT GRENT GRENT



### RESEARCH ETHICS

### - A PERSPECTIVE FROM THE ARTS

STEF SLEMBROUCK



## LW (ARTS & PHILOSOPHY)

- A general formulation: "agree with the rules of ethical conduct and Belgian legislation"
  - ➤ The "privacy law" → protection of the personal lifeworld (8 December 1992)
    - 1. Not use collected data for other purposes
    - 2. Data is confidential (what is *in* the data base)
    - 3. Avoid indirect identification
    - 4. Ethical limits of response-raising strategies
- Arts Faculty: no detailed ethical code or charter posted on the faculty website



## PSW (POLITICAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES)

- 1. Guarantee integrity, quality and transparancy of research
- 2. Inform participants correctly about aims, methods and applications
- Clarity about confidentiality and anonimity, incl. data recording and storage
- 4. Voluntary consent, avoidance of damage to research subjects or unnecessary risk
- 5. Independent, non-partisan, avoid conflict of interest



### PSW (POLITICAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES)

1 Guarantee integrity, quality and transparancy of research

APPROVAL
ABOUT
RESEARCH
METHODOLOGY?

recording and Sto

- Voluntary conser risk
- 5. Independent, not

RESEARCH DEONTOLOGY: correct use of appropriate scientific methodology

- 1. State-of-the-art knowledge of field
- 2. Researcher chooses the most appropriate method; proven methods of analysis
- 3. There is sufficent consensus about the adopted methodology
- 4. Methodology and methodological decisions are made transparant
- 5. All information is made available to enable verification and replication



#### PSW (POLITICAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES)

- 1. Guarantee integrity, quality a
- Inform participants correctly applications
- 1. Anonimity: what is it? Scope?
- 2. Avoid cross-referencing
- 3. How long is the data kept?
- 4. Data transport and storage: "encryption"
- 5. ...
- 3. Clarity about confidentiality and anonimity, incl. data recording and storage
- 4. Voluntary consent, avoidance of damage to research subjects or unnecessary risk
- 5. Independent, non-partisan, avoid conflict of interest



## PSW (POLITICAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES)

- 1. Guarantee integrity, quality and transparancy of research
- 2. Inform participants correctly about aims, methods and applications
- Clarity about confidentiality and anonimity, incl. data recording and storage
- 4. Voluntary consent, avoidance of damage to research subjects or unnecessary risk
- 5. Independent, non-partisan, avoid conflict of interest



## RE (LAW & CRIMINOLOGY)

- 1. Respect for integrity, quality and transparency
- 2. Inform researchers and participants about purpose, method and use
- 3. Confidentiality and anonimity
- 4. Voluntary consent to participate
- 5. Damage to researchers and informants must be avoided
- 6. Guarantee independence and be explicit about conflicts of interest or partisan interest



## PPW (PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES)

- Beyond a legal perspective
- 4 key-words: research is "ethical, justified, sustainable, efficient"
- Research is done in accordance with accepted standards of scientific quality and ethical behaviour
- 2. Within a legal framework

**GHENT** 

- 3. In case of doubt, consult ethics committee
- 4. Responsibilty extends to supervised research
- 5. Only do that which you have been trained to do
- 6. Informed consent of participants and participating institutions
- 7. Members of EC cannot advise on their own research
- 8. Changes which affect safety or lead to a new interpretation of research need to be presented to the EC first

### PPW (PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES)

- Beyond a legal perspective
- 4 key-words: research is "ethical, justified, sustainable, efficient"
- Research is done in accordance with accepted standards of scientific quality and ethical behaviour
- 2. Within legal framework

**GHENT** 

- 3. In case of doubt, consult ethics committee
- 4. Responsibilty extends to supervised research
- 5. Only do that which have been trained to do
- 6. Informed consent of participants and participating institutions
- 7. Members of EC cannot advise on their own research
- 8. Changes which affect safety or lead to a new interpretation of research need to be presented to the EC first

## PPW (PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES)

- Beyond a legal perspective
- 4 key-words: research is "ethical, justified, sustainable, efficient"
- 1. Research is done in accordance with accepted standards of scientific quality and ethical behaviour
- 2. Within legal framework

**GHENT** 

- 3. In case of doubt, consult ethics committee
- 4. Responsibilty extends to supervised research
- 5. Only do that which have been trained to do
- 6. Informed consent of participants and participating institutions
- 7. Members of EC cannot advise on their own research
- 8. Changes which affect safety or lead to a new interpretation of research need to be presented to the EC first

### <u>PPW</u>

**GHENT** 

#### (PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES)

- Beyond a legal perspective
- 4 key-words: research is "ethical, justifie
- Research is done in accordance with a quality and ethical behaviour
- 2. Within legal framework
- 3. In case of doubt, consult ethics committee
- 4. Responsibility extends to supervised research
- 5. Only do what one has been trained to do
- 6. Informed consent of participants and participating institutions
- 7. Members of EC cannot advise on their own research
- 8. Changes which affect safety or lead to a new interpretation of research need to be presented to the EC first

- 4. Fac PSW: supervisor of Ma-diss acts as an ethical advisor; no need to go to EC.
- 5. Implications for exploratory research?

### RESEARCH DATA MANAGEMENT

Good research data management has many advantages: for yourself, for your research institute, for your discipline and for the world around us:

- Ensuring the integrity of your research
- Increasing the impact of your research
- Supporting future use
- Satisfying in- and external requirements



#### RUBRICS APPLICATION FORM ETHICAL APPROVAL "ARTS"

- Participants: how recruited? what kind of information?
   known problems? list of Qs/topics to be attached.
- Procedure: what expected to do? advantages of participation? risks of participation? what are they told?
- Informed consent: how obtained? pretense? how informed about this? audio or video-recording? how is confidentiality guaranteed? payment/compensation for participation?
- Data: anonimisation? data storage? access to data?
- Results: are participants informed about results?



#### RUBRICS APPLICATION FORM ETHICAL APPROVAL "ARTS"

- Participants: how recruited? what kind of information? known problems? list of Qs attached.
- Procedure: what expected to do? advantages of participation? risks of participation? what are they told?
- Informed consent: how of about this? audio or vided guaranteed? payment/col weighed against advantages?
  Informed consent: how of "RISKS" ONLY? ALSO: "ADVANTAGES"? Risks run by researched groups to be weighed against advantages?
- Data: anor
- Pollock (2012): risk assessment too often conducted from within a clinical framework; the risks attached to qualitative
  - research are minimal but also different
  - The engaged researcher
  - The advocate researcher
  - A processual approach



### **ACADEMIC RECIPROCITY (AAA-CODE)**

- A particular inroad from anthropology
  - Include host context colleagues in research plans and funding applications
- 2. Establish collaborative relationships with local colleagues and their institutions
- 3. Include host context colleagues in publication of results
- 4. The researcher's primary obligation is to the population being studied



"Ethnographic tradition": assumption of ethical practice, but research path is not laid down beforehand.

#### A NORTH-SOUTH "INTERDEPENDENCY"-PERSPECTIVE

- Co-construction of knowledge in a collaborative context
- Co-ownership of research: its design, findings, benefits, publication opportunities, ...
- Questions of representation, beyond "anonimity"
  - References to people, socio-cultural categories, historical events, etc.
  - How to integrate the "voice" of research participants?



# PARTICIPANTS ONLY? WHAT ABOUT RESEARCHERS?

- Researcher safety: an ethical concern
- "Dangerous situations" → physical well-being
- "Upsetting/unsettling information" → socio-pyschological well-being



#### REFLEXIVE/SELF-INTERROGATIVE PRACTICE

WEBER, WOUTERS & CLAES (2016), <u>Van ethische codes en ethische commissies</u>

 naar ethische vorming voor
 wetenschappers, Ethiek en Maatschappij,
 18 (1/2), 71-86.

Raise awareness about issues

➤ Stress on "reflexive practice"

➤ Ethical codes and EC's cannot guarantee that research will be conducted ethically

➤ Train researchers in detecting and acknowledging moral issues and dilemmas



### <u>OVERVIEW</u>

- https://www.ugent.be/intranet/nl/op-hetwerk/onderzoekonderwijs/onderzoek/beleid/kwaliteit/ethiek.htm
- Overview of faculties, EC's, weblinks
- With the exception of what is legally required (experiments on animals, human beings and privacy), an advice by an ethics committee remains an <u>advice</u> (not compulsory but strongly recommended)





#### STEF SLEMBROUCK

FORMER DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH MEMBER OF ETHICS COMMITTEE FACULTY OF ARTS & PHILOSOPHY

E stef.slembrouck@ugent.be



